

The Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds and geography of symplectic fillings

Tian-Jun Li and Cheuk Yu Mak*

October 24, 2016

Abstract

We introduce the Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds and establish some basic properties. In particular, contact 3-manifolds with distinct Kodaira dimensions behave differently when it comes to the geography of various kinds of fillings. On the other hand, we also prove that, given any contact 3-manifold, there is a lower bound of $2\chi + 3\sigma$ for all its minimal symplectic fillings. This is motivated by Stipsicz's result in [38] for Stein fillings. Finally, we discuss various aspects of exact self cobordisms of fillable 3-manifolds.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds	4
2.1	Symplectic Kodaira dimension, uniruled and Calabi-Yau caps	5
2.2	Properties of $Kod(Y, \xi)$	7
2.3	Contact Kodaira dimensions for some fibered manifolds	9
3	Maximal surface and the lower bound on $2\chi + 3\sigma$	10
3.1	Equivalence of minimality for convex 4-manifolds	10
3.2	Bounding exceptional curves by a maximal surface	12
3.3	Existence of maximal cap	13
3.4	Exact symplectic cobordisms of fillable 3-manifolds	15
3.4.1	The bound on $2\chi + 3\sigma$	15
3.4.2	The monoid of exact self-cobordisms	16

*Both authors are supported by NSF-grant DMS 1207037.

1 Introduction

Understanding symplectic fillings of a given contact manifold (Y, ξ) is one of the fundamental questions in contact/symplectic topology. The ambitious goal is to classify all the Stein, exact, or minimal strong symplectic fillings of a given contact manifold (Y, ξ) . Although this has been achieved for several families of contact 3-manifolds ([15], [29], [26], etc), not much is known for a general contact manifold, even in dimension 3. A more realistic but still ambitious goal is to classify homology types of fillings for contact 3-manifolds. In this direction, it was conjectured by Stipsicz [38] that

$$\{(b_1(N), \chi(N), \sigma(N)) | (N, \omega) \text{ is a Stein filling of } (Y, \xi)\}$$

is a finite set for any (Y, ξ) . This is, however, disproved by Baykur and Van Horn-Morris in [3] (see also [2], [5]).

In [23], the authors together with Yasui discover that this conjecture (in fact, stronger version) holds when (Y, ξ) admits a **Calabi-Yau** or a **uniruled/adjunction** cap (see Section 2). Uniruled caps and adjunction caps share all the properties when it comes to constraining fillings and we speculate that uniruled caps and adjunction caps actually coincide. For this reason, we will overlook adjunction caps in this paper. We find it convenient to introduce the notion of Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds in terms of such caps.

Definition 1.1. For a closed co-oriented contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , the Kodaira dimension is defined as follows.

$$Kod(Y, \xi) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if it admits a uniruled cap} \\ 0 & \text{if it admits a Calabi-Yau cap but admits no uniruled cap} \\ 1 & \text{if it does not admit Calabi-Yau cap or uniruled cap} \end{cases}$$

With this notion, the results in [23] mentioned above imply that the symplectic filling version of Stipsicz's conjecture holds for any contact 3-manifold with $Kod = -\infty$, and the exact filling version of Stipsicz's conjecture holds for any contact 3-manifold with $Kod = 0$. Moreover, explicit homological bounds can be explained in the case $Kod = -\infty$ given a uniruled cap, and in the case $Kod = 0$ given a Calabi-Yau cap.

There are many contact 3-manifolds with $Kod = 1$. For Stein fillings of an arbitrary contact 3-manifold, there is the beautiful result of Stipsicz:

Theorem 1.2 ([38]). *For any contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , the set*

$$\{2\chi(N) + 3\sigma(N) \in \mathbb{Z} | (N, \omega) \text{ is a Stein filling of } (Y, \xi)\}$$

is bounded from below.

The is the best topological obstruction in the literature which works for all contact 3-manifolds (Y, ξ) . It is natural to ask whether the analogous statement is true for exact fillings, or even for minimal strong fillings, which is a much weaker condition compared to Stein fillings (see [12], [42]). We are able to obtain an affirmative answer to this general question.

Theorem 1.3. *For any contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , the set*

$$\{2\chi(N) + 3\sigma(N) \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (N, \omega) \text{ is a minimal strong symplectic filling of } (Y, \xi)\}$$

is bounded from below. Moreover, the lower bound can be explicitly calculated given a polarized symplectic cap.

Theorem 1.3 together with the above results in [23] for $Kod = -\infty$ and $Kod = 0$ contact 3-manifolds provide a comprehensive geography picture for various fillings of contact 3-manifolds with a fixed Kodaira dimension.

Our approach is based on the notion of a maximal surface in [24] and the notion of a Donaldson cap, which we introduce below.

Definition 1.4. Let (X, ω) be a closed symplectic four manifold and D be a smooth symplectic surface in X . Then D is called **maximal** if any symplectic exceptional class in (X, ω) pairs positively with $[D]$.

A concave symplectic pair is (P, ω_P, α_P) where (P, ω_P) is a concave symplectic manifold and α_P is a contact one form on ∂P induced by an inward pointing Liouville vector field. Such a pair is called of rational period if $\frac{1}{2\pi}[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] \in H^2(P, \partial P; \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 1.5. Let (P, ω_P, α_P) be a concave symplectic pair with rational period. A **closed** symplectic hypersurface D is called a Donaldson hypersurface of (P, ω_P, α_P) if it is Lefschetz dual to an integral multiple of $\frac{1}{2\pi}[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)]$. We will often just say that D is a Donaldson hypersurface of (P, ω_P) .

A symplectic cap is called a Donaldson cap if it admits a Donaldson hypersurface, and a Donaldson cap with a chosen Donaldson hypersurface is called a polarized cap.

We remark that polarized cap is a notion inspired by [4] and [34].

In Section 3.2 we show that the lower bound of $2\chi + 3\sigma$ follow from properties of maximal surfaces and the existence of Donaldson cap, and we will establish such an existence using [10] and [25].

Along the way, we also prove that

Theorem 1.6. *For any co-oriented contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , there exist a symplectic cap (P, ω_P) of (Y, ξ) such that for any minimal strong symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) , the glued symplectic manifold $(N \cup P, \omega)$ is minimal. In particular, any minimal convex symplectic 4-manifold embeds into a minimal closed symplectic 4-manifold.*

Notice that the cap in Theorem 1.6 is universal in the sense that it works for any minimal filling of (Y, ξ) (cf. [1]).

Interestingly, Theorem 1.3 leads to a similar restriction for exact self cobordisms of fillable contact 3-manifolds.

Corollary 1.7. *Suppose (Y, ξ) is a strongly fillable contact 3-manifold. Then the set*

$$\{2\chi(W) + 3\sigma(W) \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (W, \omega) \text{ is an exact cobordism from } (Y, \xi) \text{ to itself}\}$$

is bounded below by 0. In particular, if it is also bounded above, then the set is $\{0\}$.

Notice that, the lower bound in Corollary 1.7 can always be achieved by the trivial cobordism. We remark that the strong fillability assumption in Corollary 1.7 is necessary. A striking result by Eliashberg and Murphy [7] implies that any almost complex cobordism between two smooth manifolds can be made into an exact cobordism for some overtwisted contact structures on the two boundary. Together with a classical result by Van de Ven [41], one can easily construct an exact cobordism from (S^3, ξ_{OT}) to itself with very negative $2\chi + 3\sigma$ (see Remark 3.16).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds, discuss some of its properties and compute it for two important families of fibred contact 3-manifolds. In Section 3, we first observe that for convex symplectic 4-manifolds, the minimality defined in terms of symplectic -1 spheres is equivalent to the smooth minimality defined in terms of smooth -1 spheres. Next we provide explicit bounds on $2\chi + 3\sigma$ for minimal strong fillings in terms of maximal surfaces. Theorem 1.3 is then proved after establishing the existence of a Donaldson cap. Finally, we apply the circle of ideas to study the monoid of exact self cobordisms of fillable contact 3-manifolds.

The authors are supported by NSF-grants DMS 1207037. We appreciate discussions with Youlin Li, Mark McLean, Jie Min and Chris Wendl.

2 Kodaira dimension of contact 3-manifolds

We first review symplectic manifolds with contact boundary and fixing the notations. Every contact manifold (Y, ξ) in this paper is assumed to be closed and co-oriented.

A strong symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) is a symplectic manifold with boundary ∂N such that near ∂N , there is a locally defined Liouville vector field V (i.e. $d\iota_V \omega_N = \omega_N$) pointing **outward** along ∂N so that the induced contact structure ξ_N on ∂N makes $(\partial N, \xi_N)$ contactomorphic to (Y, ξ) . When V is chosen, we denote the induced contact 1-form on $\partial N = Y$ as α_N . We also call a strong symplectic filling a symplectic filling, a filling or a convex symplectic manifold. An exact symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) is a strong symplectic filling such that the Liouville vector field V can be extended to a globally defined vector field. A Stein filling is a special kind of exact symplectic filling and we refer readers to [35] for more details.

The definition of a strong symplectic capping (P, ω_P) of (Y, ξ) is similar to that of a strong symplectic filling. The only modification is that the locally defined Liouville vector field V is required to point **inward** instead of outward. The induced contact 1-form, which we call the **Liouville 1-form**, is denoted as α_P and (P, ω_P, α_P) forms a concave symplectic pair. A strong symplectic capping (P, ω_P) is also called a symplectic cap, a cap or a concave symplectic manifold.

For a choice of a filling (N, ω_N) and a cap (P, ω_P) of (Y, ξ) , we can glue them together to get a closed symplectic manifold $X = N \cup_Y P$ by inserting part of the symplectization of (Y, ξ) (see [9]). The symplectic form ω on X is not canonical and our convention is that $\omega|_P = \omega_P$ so $(N, \omega|_N)$ is obtained by attaching part of the symplectization of (Y, ξ) to $(N, \lambda_P \omega_N)$, for some $\lambda_P > 0$ small. The actual rescaling factor λ_P is not very important to our discussion so whenever a filling is glued with a cap, λ_P is chosen implicitly.

An oriented cobordism W from a closed oriented manifold Y_- to another Y_+ is a compact oriented manifold W such that $\partial W = Y_+ \cup (-Y_-)$. An oriented cobordism W with a symplectic structure ω compatible with the orientation is called a (strong) symplectic cobordism if (W, ω) is symplectic concave at Y_- and convex at Y_+ . A symplectic cobordism (W, ω) is called exact if the primitive 1-form near $Y_\pm$ extends to a global primitive 1-form. Symplectic gluing can also be performed between symplectic cobordism and symplectic filling/cap. These notations and conventions are used throughout the whole paper.

In Section 2.1, we recall Kodaira dimension of closed symplectic 4-manifolds and various caps introduced in [23]. Then we introduce the Kodaira dimension of closed co-oriented contact 3-manifolds which captures the topological complexity of its symplectic fillings. Finally, we provide examples of Stein fillable contact torus bundles and circle bundles which attain various contact Kodaira dimensions.

2.1 Symplectic Kodaira dimension, uniruled and Calabi-Yau caps

Let X be a closed, oriented smooth 4-manifold. Let $\mathcal{E}_X$ be the set of cohomology classes whose Poincaré duals are represented by smoothly embedded spheres of self-intersection -1 . X is said to be (smoothly) minimal if $\mathcal{E}_X$ is the empty set. Equivalently, X is minimal if it is not the connected sum of another manifold with $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Suppose ω is a symplectic form compatible with the orientation. (X, ω) is said to be (symplectically) minimal if $\mathcal{E}_\omega$ is empty, where

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega = \{E \in \mathcal{E}_X \mid E \text{ is represented by an embedded } \omega\text{-symplectic sphere}\}.$$

We say that (Z, τ) is a minimal model of (X, ω) if (Z, τ) is minimal and (X, ω) is a symplectic blow up of (Z, τ) . A basic fact proved using Taubes' SW theory ([39], [20], [18]) is: $\mathcal{E}_\omega$ is empty if and only if $\mathcal{E}_X$ is empty. In other words, (X, ω) is symplectically minimal if and only if X is smoothly minimal.

For minimal (X, ω) , the Kodaira dimension of (X, ω) is defined in the following way in [19] (see also [30] [17]):

$$\kappa^s(X, \omega) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] < 0 \text{ or } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } K_\omega \cdot [\omega] > 0 \text{ and } K_\omega \cdot K_\omega > 0. \end{cases}$$

Here K_ω is defined as the first Chern class of the cotangent bundle for any almost complex structure compatible with ω .

The invariant κ^s is well defined since there does not exist a minimal (X, ω) with

$$K_\omega \cdot [\omega] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad K_\omega \cdot K_\omega > 0.$$

This again follows from Taubes' SW theory [19]. Moreover, κ^s is independent of ω , so it is an oriented diffeomorphism invariant of X .

The Kodaira dimension of a non-minimal manifold is defined to be that of any of its minimal models. This definition is well-defined and independent of choice of minimal model so $\kappa^s(X, \omega)$ is well-defined for any (X, ω) (cf. [19]). When $\kappa^s(X, \omega) = 0$ (resp. $\kappa^s(X, \omega) = -\infty$), (X, ω) is called a **symplectic Calabi-Yau manifold** (resp. **symplectic uniruled manifold**).

In [23] we introduced the analogues of symplectic uniruled and Calabi-Yau manifolds for concave 4-manifolds.

Definition 2.1. A **uniruled cap** (P, ω_P, α_P) of a contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) is a concave symplectic pair such that $c_1(P) \cdot [(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] > 0$.

A **Calabi-Yau cap** (P, ω_P) of a contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) is a symplectic cap such that $c_1(P)$ is torsion.

For a concave symplectic pairs (P, ω_P, α_P) , we have the following possibilities:

- $c_1(P) \cdot [(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] > 0$: (P, ω_P, α_P) is a uniruled cap.
- $c_1(P) \cdot [(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] = 0$: (P, ω_P, α_P) is either a Calabi-Yau cap or a uniruled cap after symplectic deformation.
- $c_1(P) \cdot [(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] < 0$: it is still possible for (P, ω_P, α_P) to become a uniruled cap after a symplectic deformation.

The second bullet above is true because if $c_1(P)$ is not torsion, we can find a 2-form β such that $c_1(P) \cdot [\beta] > 0$ and deform (P, ω_P, α_P) in the β -direction to make it uniruled after deformation.

Uniruled caps and Calabi-Yau caps give surprisingly good control to symplectic fillings of (Y, ξ) . To state such results, it is convenient to introduce the following notions for fillings.

Definition 2.2. For a symplectic cap (P, ω_P) and a symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) , the (P, ω_P) -Kodaira dimension of (N, ω_N) , $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N)$, is defined to be the Kodaira dimension of $(X = N \cup_Y P, \omega)$.

When $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) = 0$ (resp. $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) = -\infty$), (N, ω_N) is called (P, ω_P) -Calabi-Yau (resp. (P, ω_P) -uniruled).

Theorem 2.3 ([23]). *Suppose $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = -\infty$ and (P, ω_P) is a uniruled cap of (Y, ξ) . Then $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) = -\infty$ and there are integers n_1, n_2, n_3 depending only on (P, ω_P) such that $b_i(N) \leq n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ for any minimal symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) . Moreover, $b_2^+(N) = 0$.*

Theorem 2.4 ([23]). *Suppose $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = 0$ and (P, ω_P) is a Calabi-Yau cap of (Y, ξ) . Then $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) \in \{-\infty, 0\}$ and there are integers n_1, n_2, n_3 depending only on (P, ω_P) such that $b_i(N) \leq n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ for any exact filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) .*

2.2 Properties of $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi)$

Recall that, for a contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , we have defined $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = -\infty$ if it admits a uniruled cap, $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = 0$ if it does not admit a uniruled cap but admits a Calabi-Yau cap, and $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = 1$ if it does not admit either a uniruled cap or a Calabi-Yau cap. The followings are either contained in [23] or immediate from the definition.

Lemma 2.5. *The contact Kodaira dimension has the following properties.*

- $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) = -\infty$ if (Y, ξ) is overtwisted.
- If the positive end of a strong symplectic cobordism has $\text{Kod} = -\infty$, then so does the negative end.
- If (Y, ξ) is co-fillable, ie. it is a connected component of the boundary of a strong semi-filling with disconnected boundary, then $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) \geq 0$.
- If $c_1(Y, \xi)$ is not torsion, then $\text{Kod}(Y, \xi) \neq 0$.

Proof. The first three bullets corresponds to Lemma 4.13, Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.8 in [23]. The last bullet is immediate. □

Since the unit cotangent bundle of surface with high genus is a maximal element with respect to symplectic cobordism (because it is co-fillable) and it has $\text{Kod} = 0$ (see [23]), we generally do not have the inequality in the second bullet for a strong symplectic cobordism.

In light of the bullets 1 and 2, we ask

Question 2.6. Suppose (Y, ξ) is a contact 3-manifold.

- If (Y, ξ) is not fillable, do we have $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty$?
- If (Y, ξ) is fillable, do we have $Kod(Y, \xi) \geq \min_{(X, \omega)} \kappa^s(X, \omega)$, where (X, ω) is a closed symplectic 4-manifold containing (Y, ξ) as a separating hypersurface?

Regarding the first bullet, a related question that was asked by Wendl is whether all non-fillable contact manifold is cobordant to an overtwisted contact manifold. The answer is negative since there are non-fillable 3-manifolds with non-trivial contact invariant [27]. However, Wendl proved that any contact 3 manifold with planar torsion is symplectic cobordant to an overtwisted contact manifold, and hence has negative Kodaira dimension [42]. Therefore, our question is a weaker version of Wendl's question.

Regarding the second bullet, it is true when $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty$. When $Kod(Y, \xi) = 0$, there is a subtlety if (Y, ξ) is not exactly fillable. When $Kod(Y, \xi) = 1$, the proposed inequality says that there exists a contact embedding in (X, ω) with $\kappa(X, \omega)$ at most 1. Notice that there are abundant (X, ω) with $\kappa^s = 1$ due to Gompf. Moreover, since many $\kappa^s = 1$ symplectic manifold have tori fibration, we speculate that whether any fillable contact manifold can be embedded in a $\kappa = 1$ symplectic manifold is related to the question of supporting genus of the contact manifold.

We end this subsection with the following observation.

Proposition 2.7. *If (W, ω_W, α_W) is an exact cobordism with negative end (Y_-, ξ_-) and positive end (Y_+, ξ_+) , then*

$$Kod(Y_-, \xi_-) \leq Kod(Y_+, \xi_+).$$

Proof. We can assume $Kod(Y_+, \xi_+) = 0$ by the second bullet of Lemma 2.5. Let (P, ω_P) be a Calabi-Yau cap of (Y_+, ξ_+) . Then $(P \cup_{Y_+} W, \omega)$ is a symplectic cap of (Y_-, ξ_-) . Since (W, ω_W, α_W) is exact and (P, ω_P) is Calabi-Yau, we have $c_1(P \cup_{Y_+} W) \cdot [(\omega, \alpha_W|_{Y_-})] = 0$ (see section 2 of [23]). By the observation right after Definition 2.1, the cap $P \cup_{Y_+} W$ is either uniruled (after a symplectic deformation) or Calabi-Yau and hence the inequality. □

Remark 2.8. Zhang introduced the topological Kodaira dimension for closed 3-manifolds $\kappa(Y)$ in [43] using Thurston's 8 geometries and Perelman's solution to the geometrization conjecture. A major property is that $\kappa(Y) \geq \kappa(Y')$ if there is a nonzero degree map from Y to Y' . However, it is not clear that how $\kappa(Y)$ and $Kod(Y, \xi)$ are related. For example, $Kod(Y, \xi_{OT}) = -\infty$ for any overtwisted contact structure ξ_{OT} (Lemma 2.5). There are also Stein fillable (Y, ξ) with $\kappa(Y) = 1$ and $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty$ (Lemma 2.11).

One possible relation is that $\kappa(Y) \geq \min_{\xi} Kod(Y, \xi)$. This is subtle when $\kappa(Y) = 0$. In the example below we show that there are also some torus bundle Y such that $\kappa(Y) = 0$ and $Kod(Y, \xi) = 1$ for some Stein fillable contact structure ξ .

2.3 Contact Kodaira dimensions for some fibered manifolds

We discuss the contact Kodaira dimension for various torus bundles over circle. In particular, we provide Stein fillable torus bundles with $Kod(Y, \xi) = 1$.

There are many torus bundles with $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty$. A detailed study can be found in [13]. A common feature of these examples is that they can be realized as the contact boundary of a divisor cap with the symplectic divisor being a cycle of symplectic spheres or a single symplectic torus.

To give torus bundles with $Kod(Y, \xi) = 0, 1$, we need to first review some basic facts about cusp singularities [28]. Consider an isolated cusp normal surface singularity. Its minimal resolution is a cycle of rational curves with negative definite self-intersection form Q_Γ . We denote the cycle of rational curves as D and regard it as a symplectic divisor. The boundary of a regular neighborhood $N(D)$ of D is a torus bundle over circle Y [32]. Moreover, Y is equipped with the canonical contact structure ξ as a link of complex isolated normal surface singularity. This setup should be viewed as the dual of the one in [13].

D is called *embeddable* if there is a smooth (complex) rational surface with an effective reduced anticanonical divisor whose dual graph is the same as that of D . D is called *smoothable* if the cusp singularity associated to D is smoothable as a complex surface singularity. When D is smoothable, the smoothings are diffeomorphic to the complements of $\check{D}$ in X , where $\check{D}$ is the resolution divisor of the dual cusp singularity associated to D and X is a smooth rational surface so that $\check{D}$ can be embedded into. In particular, smoothings of cusps have $b_2^+ = 1$. We can now completely determine when a cusp is smoothable/embeddable thanks to Hirzebruch-Zagier algorithm which checks embeddability combinatorically, and proofs of Looijenga conjecture which relates smoothability of a cusp with embeddability of the dual cusp [16], [8].

Lemma 2.9. *When a cusp is embeddable and smoothable, then its link (Y, ξ) has $Kod(Y, \xi) = 0$.*

Proof. When a cusp is embeddable, say D is embedded into X , then the complement P of the regular neighborhood $N(D)$ of D in X is a Calabi-Yau cap of (Y, ξ) . In this case, $Kod(Y, \xi) \leq 0$. Moreover, D being smoothable implies that (Y, ξ) admits a Stein filling (its smoothings) with $b_2^+ = 1$ so (Y, ξ) cannot admit uniruled cap and $Kod(Y, \xi) = 0$. $\square$

One can use this explicit Calabi-Yau cap to study exact fillings of (Y, ξ) by Theorem 2.4 but we do not pursue it here. We remark that one can check explicitly there are many embeddable and smoothable D . On the other hand, there are also many smoothable but not embeddable cusp singularities.

Lemma 2.10. *When a cusp is not embeddable but smoothable, then its link (Y, ξ) has $Kod(Y, \xi) = 1$*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.9, D being smoothable implies that $Kod(Y, \xi) \neq -\infty$. Suppose (Y, ξ) admits a CY cap (P, ω_P) , then $(X = P \cup N(D), \omega)$ is either a minimal Calabi-Yau manifold or a non-minimal uniruled manifold [23]. Since $c_1(D) \neq 0$, $X = P \cup N(D)$ is a non-minimal uniruled manifold. Moreover, $c_1|_P$ is torsion and the Poincare dual of $c_1|_{N(D)}$ is represented by D (this can be checked by adjunction) implies that D represents the Poincare dual of the first Chern class in (X, ω) and hence a symplectic Looijenga pair in the sense of [22]. By the classification result in [22], the embeddability of D as a symplectic divisor in a symplectic rational manifold is the same as that in the complex (Kähler) case. By the assumption that D is not embeddable in the complex sense, we get a contradiction. As a result, $Kod(Y, \xi) = 1$. $\square$

The following lemma provides another illustrative family of examples of contact manifolds with different Kodaira dimensions.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n})$ be the boundary of a neighborhood of a symplectic surface $D_{g,n}$ with genus g and self-intersection number $n > 0$ equipped with the canonical contact structure. Then,*

$$Kod(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n}) = \begin{cases} -\infty & n > 2g - 2 \\ 0 & n = 2g - 2 \\ 1 & n < 2g - 2 \end{cases}$$

Proof. As a neighborhood of $D_{g,n}$, $(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})$ is a symplectic cap of $(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n})$. When $n > 2g - 2$, $(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})$ is a uniruled cap and hence $Kod(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n}) = -\infty$. When $n = 2g - 2$, $(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})$ is a Calabi-Yau cap. However, $(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n})$ does not admit a uniruled cap because it is co-fillable. When $n < 2g - 2$, it admits no Calabi-Yau cap because $c_1(\xi_{g,n})$ is not torsion. To show that it admits no uniruled cap, we consider the Calabi-Yau cap $(P_{g,2g-2}, \omega_{P_{g,2g-2}})$ with $D_{g,2g-2}$ inside. We can do $2g - 2 - n$ small symplectic blowups along $D_{g,2g-2}$ and result in a symplectic surface D' of genus g and self intersection number n . The neighborhood of D' is symplectic deformation equivalent to $(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})$. By deleting the neighborhood of D' , we get a symplectic cobordism with negative end being $(Y_{g,2g-2}, \xi_{g,2g-2})$ and positive end being $(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n})$. Since $(Y_{g,2g-2}, \xi_{g,2g-2})$ is co-fillable, so is $(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n})$. Hence $Kod(Y_{g,n}, \xi_{g,n}) = 1$. $\square$

3 Maximal surface and the lower bound on $2\chi + 3\sigma$

3.1 Equivalence of minimality for convex 4-manifolds

In this subsection, we make an observation on symplectic exceptional spheres in convex 4-manifolds.

Let (N, ω_N) be a convex symplectic manifold. It is called smoothly minimal if there is no smoothly embedded sphere of self-intersection -1 . It is called (symplectically) minimal if there is no symplectically embedded sphere of self-intersection -1 . For homological reason, any exact/Stein filling is minimal. The following proposition shows that these two are in fact the same notion, similar to the situation for closed symplectic four manifolds.

Proposition 3.1. *A convex symplectic 4-manifold (N, ω_N) is symplectically minimal if and only if it is smoothly minimal.*

We first recall a result in [23].

Lemma 3.2 ([23], Corollary 2.12). *Let (P, ω_P, α_P) be a concave symplectic pair and (N, ω_N) a symplectic filling of (Y, ξ) . Then any symplectic exceptional class in $(N \cup_Y P, \omega)$ which admits no embedded symplectic representative in (N, ω_N) pairs positively with $PD[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)]$*

In particular, if (N, ω_N) is (symplectically) minimal, any symplectic exceptional class in $(N \cup_Y P, \omega)$ pairs positively with $PD[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)]$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Clearly N is symplectically minimal if it is smoothly minimal. To prove the converse, we will assume that N is not smoothly minimal and show that it cannot be symplectically minimal. Let $e \in H_2(N)$ be the class of a smoothly embedded -1 sphere in N .

We glue N along its contact boundary (Y, ξ) with a concave 4-manifold (P, ω_P) to obtain a closed symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) . Further, we can assume that $b_2^+(P) > 1$ (by [10]). Denote still by e the image of e under the natural map $H_2(N) \rightarrow H_2(X)$. Notice that $b_2^+(X) > 1$ since $b_2^+(P) > 1$. By a result of Taubes [39], there is a ω -symplectic -1 sphere S in the class e or $-e$.

By Lemma 3.2, S pairs positively with $PD[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)] \in H_2(X)$. However, $PD[(\omega_P, \alpha_P)]$ comes from the natural map $H_2(P) \rightarrow H_2(X)$. This contradicts to the fact that e is represented by a smooth sphere in N . $\square$

By the same argument, we can also obtain the following consequences.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (N, ω_N) be a convex symplectic manifold. If there is a smooth -1 sphere in N , there is a symplectic -1 sphere homologous to it up to sign.*

Moreover, the classes of symplectic -1 spheres are pairwise orthogonal.

It turns out that this corollary will be essential when we study self exact cobordism in Section 3.4. A natural question is whether the corresponding result of Proposition 3.1 is true for concave symplectic 4-manifolds. We remark that removing a ball in a rational 4-manifold with more than two blow-ups gives a counterexample of the corresponding result of Corollary 3.3 for concave symplectic 4-manifolds.

3.2 Bounding exceptional curves by a maximal surface

We now discuss how to use a maximal symplectic surface (see Definition 1.4) to bound the number of exceptional curves in a closed symplectic four manifold.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (X, ω) be a non-uniruled closed symplectic four manifold. If D is maximal, then $c_1(X, \omega)^2 \geq c_1(X, \omega) \cdot D$. In particular, the number of exceptional spheres is bounded above by $K_\omega \cdot D$.*

Proof. By Taubes, any minimal model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ of (X, ω) has $c_1 \cdot c_1 \geq 0$ and all the exceptional classes are pairwise orthogonal. Let L be the number of exceptional classes. Then

$$c_1(X, \omega)^2 = c_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})^2 - L \geq -L.$$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_L$ be the exceptional classes. Then by Taubes, $K_{\tilde{\omega}} = K_\omega - \sum e_i$ is a GT class and hence represented by a J -holomorphic curve for any $\tilde{\omega}$ -compatible J . We pick such a J so that D is J -holomorphic. Since D has positive self-intersection, by positivity of intersection, we have $D \cdot K_{\tilde{\omega}} \geq 0$. Therefore we have

$$L \leq \left(\sum e_i \cdot D \right) = (K_\omega - K_{\tilde{\omega}}) \cdot D \leq K_\omega \cdot D = 2g(D) - 2 - D \cdot D,$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let (X, ω) be a uniruled closed symplectic four manifold. If D is maximal surface of genus $g > 0$, then*

$$c_1(X, \omega)^2 \geq c_1(X) \cdot D + 2 - 2g$$

if D is not a section

$$c_1(X, \omega)^2 = 8 - 8g$$

if D is a section.

Proof. Since D is not a sphere, D is a maximal surface in the sense of [24] and hence satisfies $(-c_1(X) + [D])^2 \geq 0$ when D is not a section. By adjunction, we have $c_1(X) \cdot D = D \cdot D + 2 - 2g$. Hence $c_1(X, \omega)^2 \geq c_1(X) \cdot D + 2 - 2g$.

When D is a section, it is maximal only when (X, ω) is minimal. In this case, (X, ω) is a sphere bundle over a genus g surface and hence $c_1(X, \omega)^2 = (2\chi + 3\sigma)(X) = 8 - 8g$.

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let D be a maximal symplectic surface with genus $g > 0$ in a concave symplectic manifold (P, ω) . There is a lower bound on $(2\chi + 3\sigma)(N)$ of any minimal strong symplectic filling N of $Y = \partial P$ given by*

$$(2\chi + 3\sigma)(N) \geq \min\{c_1(P) \cdot D + 2 - 2g, 8 - 8g\} - (2\chi + 3\sigma)(P)$$

Moreover, if $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) \geq 0$, we have

$$(2\chi + 3\sigma)(N) \geq c_1(P) \cdot D - (2\chi + 3\sigma)(P)$$

Proof. Notice that, for any minimal strong symplectic filling N of Y , the glued symplectic manifold $X = N \cup_Y P$ satisfies $c_1(X, \omega_X)^2 = 2\chi(X) + 3\sigma(X)$, and

$$\sigma(X) = \sigma(P) + \sigma(N), \quad \chi(X) = \chi(P) + \chi(N).$$

so it suffices to prove that

$$(2\chi + 3\sigma)(X) \geq c_1(P) \cdot D + 2 - 2g$$

in general and

$$(2\chi + 3\sigma)(X) \geq c_1(P) \cdot D$$

when $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) \geq 0$, which in turn follows from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Note that $b_2^+(P) + b_2^+(N) > 1$ is sufficient to guarantee that $\kappa^{(P, \omega_P)}(N, \omega_N) \geq 0$.

Moreover, when $n \leq 2g - 2$, the bound obtained for $\kappa^{(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})}(N, \omega_N) \geq 0$ in Corollary 3.6 is sharp, where $(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})$ is the neighborhood of $D_{g,n}$. By tracing the way we obtain the bound in Corollary 3.6, the bound for $\kappa^{(P_{g,n}, \omega_{P_{g,n}})}(N, \omega_N) \geq 0$ is sharp if there is a minimal symplectic filling (N, ω_N) such that $\kappa(N \cup_Y P, \omega) = 0$ and every exceptional class e in $(N \cup_Y P, \omega)$ satisfy $e \cdot D_{g,n} = 1$. Notice that, there are symplectic surfaces of any genus in a $K3$ surface. Similar to above, by performing $2g - 2 - n$ blow-ups along a symplectic surface of genus g , we get a symplectic surface $\overline{D}$ of genus g and self intersection number n . The complement of a neighborhood of $\overline{D}$ realizes the lower bound obtained in Corollary 3.6.

3.3 Existence of maximal cap

Definition 3.7. Let (P, ω_P) be a concave symplectic manifold and D be a smooth symplectic surface in P . Then D is called **maximal** if, for any minimal symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of ∂P , D is maximal in $(N \cup_{\partial P} P, \omega)$.

A cap is called maximal if it admits a maximal surface.

The primary sources of a maximal cap are Donaldson caps.

Lemma 3.8. *A Donaldson hypersurface for (P, ω_P) is a maximal surface, and hence a Donaldson cap is a maximal cap.*

Proof. It follows directly from Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *Any contact 3-manifold admits a maximal cap.*

Proof of Theorem 3.9. By [10], there exists a Stein fillable contact 3-manifold (Y_2, ξ_2) such that (Y, ξ) is Stein cobordant to (Y_2, ξ_2) . Denote the Stein cobordism by (SC, τ) .

Let (N, ω_N) be a Stein filling of (Y_2, ξ_2) . By [25], (N, ω_N) embeds into a minimal surface X of general type. In fact, inspecting their argument, we see that there is

an affine surface A in X such that $N \subset A$ and X is the projective compactification of A . The divisor $D := X \setminus A$ is ample, and by Hironaka's resolution of singularities we can assume that it is a simple normal crossing divisor.

In particular, we can smooth D out to a smooth symplectic Donaldson hypersurface in $P := X \setminus N$. By gluing P with (SC, τ) , we get a maximal cap of (Y, ξ) . $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *Any contact 3-manifold is Stein cobordant to a contact circle bundle.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 3.9, any contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) is Stein cobordant to a Stein fillable one (Y_2, ξ_2) , which in turn is Stein fillable to the contact boundary (Y_3, ξ_3) of a regular neighborhood of the compactifying divisor D . We can perturb D to a symplectic divisor D' with symplectic orthogonal intersection points ([14]). By [31] (see also [21]), the contact boundary of a small regular neighborhood $N(D')$ of D' is contactomorphic to (Y_3, ξ_3) and $N(D') \setminus D'$ is equipped with a Weinstein structure. We pick a Darboux chart and a compatible integrable complex structure near each intersection point of D' to resolve the symplectic orthogonal intersection points of D' using the local model $C_\epsilon := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_1 z_2 = \epsilon\}$, where $\epsilon = 0$ corresponds to the symplectic orthogonal intersection and $\epsilon \neq 0$ corresponds to smoothing. We denote a choice of smoothing family as $D'_\epsilon \subset N(D')$. By inspecting these local smoothings, one can see that $N(D') \setminus U(D'_\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$ can be obtained by adding Weinstein handles to $N(D') \setminus U(D'_0)$ where $U(D'_\epsilon)$ is a small open regular neighborhood of D'_ϵ (cf. [33]). As a result, (Y_3, ξ_3) is Stein cobordant to $\partial Cl(U(D'_\epsilon))$ which is a contact circle bundle. Here Cl stands for taking closure. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.8, we can find a maximal cap. Therefore, the result follows by Corollary 3.6.

In particular, if (Y, ξ) admits a polarized cap (P, ω_P, D) such that the genus of D is greater than 0, then $(2\chi + 3\sigma)(N) \geq \min\{c_1(P) \cdot D + 2 - 2g, 8 - 8g\} - (2\chi + 3\sigma)(P)$ for any minimal symplectic fillings N . If $b_2^+(P) > 1$, then we have the better bound $(2\chi + 3\sigma)(N) \geq c_1(P) \cdot D - (2\chi + 3\sigma)(P)$. $\square$

Example 3.11. Baykur and Van Horn Morris provide infinitely many contact 3-manifolds (Y_k, ξ_k) , each of which has infinitely Stein filling with strictly increasing χ , strictly decreasing σ and strictly increasing $2\chi + 3\sigma$ in [3].

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let (Y, ξ) be as before and (P, ω_P) any symplectic cap of (Y, ξ) . By Theorem 3.9, we can find a Donaldson hypersurface and hence maximal surface D in (P, ω_P) . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the genus g of D is positive. Denote the self-intersection number of D as s .

We consider a symplectic four torus (T^4, ω) with product symplectic form. One can easily find a symplectic surface D' of genus g in (T^4, ω) . By adjunction, $[D']^2 \geq 0$. We can perform $[D']^2 + s$ symplectic blow-ups using disjoint balls centered along

D' and get a symplectic surface D'' of genus g and self-intersection $-s$. Denote the resulting ambient manifold (X', ω') . Notice that, by Taubes SW theory, all symplectic exceptional spheres in (X', ω') intersect D'' . We now perform Gompf's symplectic sum surgery between (P, ω_P) and (X', ω') along D and D'' , which results in another symplectic cap (P', ω'_P) of (Y, ξ) .

Now, for any minimal symplectic filling (N, ω_N) of (Y, ξ) , the glued symplectic manifold $N \cup P'$ can also be obtained as performing symplectic sum surgery between $N \cup P$ and X' . Since D and D'' are maximal in $N \cup P$ and X' , respectively. The minimality theorem of Usher [40] implies that $N \cup P'$ is minimal. $\square$

3.4 Exact symplectic cobordisms of fillable 3-manifolds

We discuss the analogue of Theorem 1.3 for exact cobordism of fillable 3-manifolds and propose a weakened version of Stipsicz's conjecture for further study.

3.4.1 The bound on $2\chi + 3\sigma$

We will prove Corollary 1.7, in fact the more general Proposition 3.14, based on the following observation.

Proposition 3.12. *If W is an exact cobordism from (Y_1, ξ) to (Y_2, ξ_2) and N is a strong symplectic filling of (Y_1, ξ) with k exceptional classes, then $N \cup_{Y_1} W$ also has exactly k exceptional classes.*

In particular, if N is minimal, then $N \cup_{Y_1} W$ is also minimal.

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, we know that all exceptional classes in $N \cup_{Y_1} W$ are disjoint. Therefore, it suffices to consider the case where N is minimal by blowing down all the exceptional classes in N and we now want to prove that $N \cup_{Y_1} W$ is minimal.

Assume the contrary that there is an exceptional class $e \in H_2(N \cup_{Y_1} W)$. We can cap $N \cup_{Y_1} W$ by P to obtain a closed symplectic manifold X . Since W is exact, the Lefschetz dual of the relative symplectic class $[(\omega_{W \cup_{Y_2} P}, \alpha_{W \cup_{Y_2} P})]$ of $W \cup_{Y_2} P$ comes from $H_2(P)$. We denote the Lefschetz dual as h . Moreover, as N is minimal, we can apply Lemma 3.2 to the cap $W \cup_{Y_2} P$ and hence $e \cdot h > 0$. This is a contradiction because h comes from $H_2(P)$ but e comes from $H_2(N \cup_{Y_1} W)$. $\square$

Remark 3.13. It was pointed out to us by Youlin Li that Etnyre has an argument to show that gluing a minimal strong symplectic filling and a Stein cobordism results in a minimal strong symplectic filling (See Proposition 2.3 in [6]). In general, it is not true that gluing a minimal symplectic filling with a minimal symplectic cobordism results in a minimal symplectic filling. In light of Proposition 3.12, exact cobordism is an appropriate kind of cobordism which is good for gluing in the category of minimal symplectic fillings.

For a strongly fillable contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , let $n_{Y, \xi}$ be the minimum of $2\chi + 3\sigma$ among all of its minimal fillings (which exists by Theorem 1.3).

Proposition 3.14. *If (Y_1, ξ_1) is strongly symplectic fillable and (Y_2, ξ_2) is another contact 3-manifold, then $2\chi(W) + 3\sigma(W) \geq n_{Y_2, \xi_2} - n_{Y_1, \xi_1}$ for all exact cobordism W from Y_1 to Y_2 .*

Moreover, if $Kod(Y_2, \xi_2) = -\infty$ (or $Kod(Y_2, \xi_2) = 0$ and (Y_1, ξ_1) is exact fillable), then there are Betti number bounds on W .

Proof of Proposition 3.14 and Corollary 1.7. A consequence of Theorem 1.3, 2.4, 2.3, Proposition 3.12 and the Novikov signature additivity. $\square$

Example 3.15. The examples of Baykur and Van Horn-Morris mentioned in Example 3.11 implies that there is no upper bound of $2\chi + 3\sigma$ for exact cobordism from (S^3, ξ_{std}) to (Y_k, ξ_k) .

The fillability condition of (Y_1, ξ_1) is important in Proposition 3.14 as seen from following remark.

Remark 3.16. For any negative integer n , there is an exact symplectic cobordism (W, ω) from (S^3, ξ_{OT}) to itself such that $2\chi(W) + 3\sigma(W) \leq n$. Here ξ_{OT} stands for the overtwisted contact structure on S^3 in the homotopy class of plane field representing the zero element of $\pi_3(S^2)$.

By [41] (see also [11]), there is a closed almost complex manifold (X, J) of real dimension four with $2\chi(X) + 3\sigma(X) < n$. By removing two disjoint small balls from it, we have an almost complex smooth cobordism W from S^3 to itself, whose homotopy class of 2-plane fields restricted to either of the two boundary components represent the zero element of $\pi_3(S^2)$. This homotopy class supports exactly one overtwisted contact structure on S^3 up to isotopy. Theorem 1.1 of [7] (see also the paragraph after it) concludes the remark.

3.4.2 The monoid of exact self-cobordisms

Definition 3.17. For a closed co-oriented contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) , the exact (resp. strong, Stein) self-cobordism monoid is the monoid whose element is exact (resp. strong, Stein) cobordism from (Y, ξ) to itself and multiplication is given by concatenation.

Since we can always stack elements in the exact/Stein/strong self-cobordism monoid, if there is any Stein fillable contact 3-manifold (Y, ξ) such that it has a Stein self-cobordism with non-zero χ (resp. σ), then there is no uniform bound of χ (resp. σ) for its Stein fillings. As a result, any such (Y, ξ) provides a counterexample of Stipsicz's conjecture (see Introduction).

On the other hand, proving that any Stein self-cobordism has zero χ and σ is not an easy question. If $\chi = \sigma = 0$ for all Stein self-cobordisms of (Y, ξ) , then (Y, ξ) cannot be obtained from itself via a (non-empty) sequence of Legendrian (-1) -surgery. A progress along this line was made by Plamenevskaya who prove that tight lens spaces cannot be obtained from itself via Legendrian (-1) -surgery ([37]).

In fact, we now know that any exact self-cobordism of a strongly (resp. exact) fillable contact manifold (Y, ξ) with $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty$ (resp. $Kod(Y, \xi) = 0$) has $\chi = \sigma = 0$, by Theorem 2.4, 2.3 and Proposition 3.12. Therefore, it provides a vast amount of Stein fillable contact 3-manifolds which cannot be obtained from itself via a (non-empty) sequence of Legendrian (-1) -surgery.

Since $\chi = \sigma = 0$ is a strong restriction, the following questions are very natural.

Question 3.18. Is it true that any exact/Stein self-cobordism monoid of a contact manifold (Y, ξ) with $Kod(Y, \xi) = -\infty, 0$ always trivial? If not, is it possible to classify?

Example 3.19. Any exact self-cobordism of the tight (S^3, ξ) is smoothly trivial. This is because any minimal symplectic filling of (S^3, ξ) is diffeomorphic to a ball, any exact self-cobordism is the complement of a ball in a ball and hence smoothly trivial.

However, counterexamples for first part of Question 3.18 can be easily found as follows.

Proposition 3.20. *There are infinitely many Stein fillable uniruled contact 3-manifolds whose Stein self-cobordism monoid is non-trivial. Moreover, for each integer n , the cardinality of the monoid of a member of this infinite family is larger than n .*

Proof. Let (Y, ξ) be a connected Stein fillable uniruled contact manifold. Then $W_1 = [0, 1] \times Y$ is a Stein self-cobordism of Y . We can attach a Weinstein 1-handle to $\{1\} \times Y$ and call the resulting Stein cobordism W_2 . Let the convex end of W_2 be Y' . We can attach a canceling Weinstein 2-handle to W_2 along a Legendrian knot and call the resulting Stein cobordism W_3 . Let $V_2 = Cl(W_2 \setminus W_1)$ and $V_3 = Cl(W_3 \setminus W_2)$ be the Stein cobordism from Y to Y' and from Y' to Y , respectively. By construction, $V_2 \cup_{Y'} V_3$ is smoothly trivial and $b_i(Y') = b_i(Y) + 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, where b_i are the Betti numbers. If we stack infinitely many $V_2 \cup_{Y'} V_3$ (or $V_3 \cup_Y V_2$) together, we get a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. Since Y is not diffeomorphic to Y' , it shows that $V_3 \cup_Y V_2$ is not smoothly trivial. As a result, $V_3 \cup_Y V_2$ is a non-trivial element in the Stein self-cobordism monoid. Moreover, it is an idempotent in the monoid.

Now, it suffices to show that infinitely many Y' can be obtained in this way and each of them is a Stein fillable uniruled contact 3-manifolds. Since Y is Stein fillable, so is Y' . On the other hand, Y' being Stein cobordant to Y and Y is uniruled means that Y' is also uniruled. Notice that $\#_k(S^1 \times S^2)$ for any $k > 0$ can be obtained from $\#_{k-1}(S^1 \times S^2)$ by the above procedure. This finishes the proof of the first statement.

For the second statement, we claim that for each positive integer $l < k$ the Stein self-cobordism $W_{k,l}$ of $\#_k(S^1 \times S^2)$ obtained by attaching $k - l$ canceling 2-handles

to $[0, 1] \times \#_k(S^1 \times S^2)$ followed by $k - l$ 1-handles are different for all l . These can be distinguished by the relative homology groups of the cobordisms $W_{k,l}$ relative to the negative boundary. $\square$

We remark that the non-trivial elements in the monoid constructed above are idempotents, which implies that these monoids do not have a group structure. In contrast, for each k , Stein filling of $\#_k(S^1 \times S^2, \xi)$ is unique up to symplectic deformation equivalence. This implies that the structure of the monoid is sometimes more complicated than its fillings.

We also remark that there is a natural map from the exact self-cobordism monoid of (Y, ξ) to the monoid of endomorphisms of Heegaard Floer homology/embedded contact homology of (Y, ξ) modulo automorphism. Moreover, these endomorphisms preserve the contact invariant.

For example, another way to see that the second statement of Proposition 3.20 is true is as follows. The hat version of Heegaard Floer $\widehat{HF}(\#_k(S^1 \times S^2), \mathbf{s}_0)$ is isomorphic to $H_*(T^k)$, where T^k is the k -dimensional torus and $\mathbf{s}_0$ is the unique $spin^c$ structure such that $c_1(\mathbf{s}_0) = 0$ ([36, Section 9]). Then, the basic properties of Heegaard Floer homology imply that the induced map $F_{W_{k,l}, \mathbf{s}} : \widehat{HF}(\#_k(S^1 \times S^2), \mathbf{s}_0) \rightarrow \widehat{HF}(\#_k(S^1 \times S^2), \mathbf{s}_0)$ has rank 2^l (actually, the map is a projection to the homology of a sub-torus). It then implies the result. In particular, any non-trivial Stein self-cobordism we constructed does not induce isomorphism on the Heegaard Floer homology.

Question 3.21. Is there any smoothly non-trivial Stein self-cobordism of a Stein fillable contact 3-manifold which induces isomorphism on the Heegaard Floer homology? In other words, can Heegaard Floer homology detect the triviality of a Stein self-cobordism for a Stein fillable 3-manifold?

References

- [1] Selman Akbulut and Burak Ozbagci. On the topology of compact Stein surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (15):769–782, 2002.
- [2] R. İnanç Baykur and Jeremy Van Horn-Morris. Topological complexity of symplectic 4-manifolds and stein fillings. *arXiv:1212.1699 (to appear in J. Symp. Geom.)*, 2012.
- [3] R. İnanç Baykur and Jeremy Van Horn-Morris. Families of contact 3-manifolds with arbitrarily large Stein fillings. *J. Differential Geom.*, 101(3):423–465, 2015. With an appendix by Samuel Lisi and Chris Wendl.
- [4] Paul Biran. Lagrangian barriers and symplectic embeddings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 11(3):407–464, 2001.

- [5] Elif Dalyan, Mustafa Korkmaz, and Mehmetcik Pamuk. Arbitrarily long factorizations in mapping class groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (19):9400–9414, 2015.
- [6] F. Ding and Y. Li. Strong symplectic fillability of contact torus bundles. *arXiv:1608.0064*, 2016.
- [7] Yakov Eliashberg and Emmy Murphy. Making cobordisms symplectic. *arXiv:1504.06312*, 2015.
- [8] Philip Milton Engel. *A Proof of Looijenga’s Conjecture via Integral-Affine Geometry*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2015. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Columbia University.
- [9] John B. Etnyre. Symplectic convexity in low-dimensional topology. *Topology Appl.*, 88(1-2):3–25, 1998. Symplectic, contact and low-dimensional topology (Athens, GA, 1996).
- [10] John B. Etnyre and Ko Honda. On symplectic cobordisms. *Math. Ann.*, 323(1):31–39, 2002.
- [11] Hansjörg Geiges. Chern numbers of almost complex manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(12):3749–3752 (electronic), 2001.
- [12] Paolo Ghiggini. Strongly fillable contact 3-manifolds without Stein fillings. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1677–1687 (electronic), 2005.
- [13] Marco Golla and Paolo Lisca. On Stein fillings of contact torus bundles. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(1):19–37, 2016.
- [14] Robert E. Gompf. A new construction of symplectic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 142(3):527–595, 1995.
- [15] Mikhael Gromov. Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 82(2):307–347, 1985.
- [16] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, and Sean Keel. Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces I. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 122:65–168, 2015.
- [17] Claude LeBrun. On the notion of general type. *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)*, 17(3):513–522, 1997.
- [18] Tian-Jun Li. Smoothly embedded spheres in symplectic 4-manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):609–613, 1999.
- [19] Tian-Jun Li. Symplectic 4-manifolds with Kodaira dimension zero. *J. Differential Geom.*, 74(2):321–352, 2006.

- [20] Tian-Jun Li and Aiko Liu. Symplectic structure on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction formula. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 2(4):453–471, 1995.
- [21] Tian-Jun Li and Cheuk Yu Mak. Symplectic divisorial capping in dimension 4. *arXiv:1407.0564*, 2014.
- [22] Tian-Jun Li and Cheuk Yu Mak. Symplectic log calabi-yau surface—deformation class. *arXiv:1510.06131*, 2015.
- [23] Tian-Jun Li, Cheuk Yu Mak, and Kouichi Yasui. Calabi-yau caps and uniruled caps. *arXiv:1412.3208*, 2014.
- [24] Tian-Jun Li and Weiyi Zhang. Additivity and relative Kodaira dimensions. In *Geometry and analysis. No. 2*, volume 18 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 103–135. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [25] P. Lisca and G. Matić. Tight contact structures and Seiberg-Witten invariants. *Invent. Math.*, 129(3):509–525, 1997.
- [26] Paolo Lisca. On symplectic fillings of lens spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(2):765–799 (electronic), 2008.
- [27] Paolo Lisca and András I. Stipsicz. Ozsváth-Szabó invariants and tight contact three-manifolds. I. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:925–945 (electronic), 2004.
- [28] Eduard Looijenga. Rational surfaces with an anticanonical cycle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 114(2):267–322, 1981.
- [29] Dusa McDuff. Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries. *Invent. Math.*, 103(3):651–671, 1991.
- [30] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. A survey of symplectic 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$. *Turkish J. Math.*, 20(1):47–60, 1996.
- [31] Mark McLean. Reeb orbits and the minimal discrepancy of an isolated singularity. *Invent. Math.*, 204(2):505–594, 2016.
- [32] Walter D. Neumann. A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 268(2):299–344, 1981.
- [33] Khoa Lu Nguyen. On the complement of a positive normal crossing divisor with no triple intersection in a projective variety. *arXiv:1512.08537*, 2015.
- [34] Emmanuel Opshtein. Polarizations and symplectic isotopies. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 11(1):109–133, 2013.

- [35] Burak Ozbagci. On the topology of fillings of contact 3-manifolds. *appear in Geometry and Topology Monographs Vol 19*, 2016.
- [36] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(3):1027–1158, 2004.
- [37] Olga Plamenevskaya. On Legendrian surgeries between lens spaces. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 10(2):165–181, 2012.
- [38] András I. Stipsicz. On the geography of Stein fillings of certain 3-manifolds. *Michigan Math. J.*, 51(2):327–337, 2003.
- [39] Clifford H. Taubes. $SW \Rightarrow Gr$: from the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(3):845–918, 1996.
- [40] Michael Usher. Kodaira dimension and symplectic sums. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84(1):57–85, 2009.
- [41] A. Van de Ven. On the Chern numbers of certain complex and almost complex manifolds. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 55:1624–1627, 1966.
- [42] Chris Wendl. Non-exact symplectic cobordisms between contact 3-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 95(1):121–182, 2013.
- [43] Weiyi Zhang. Geometric structures, gromov norm and kodaira dimensions. *arXiv:1404.4231*, 2014.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
E-mail address, Tian-Jun Li: tjli@math.umn.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, IAS, PRINCETON, NJ, 08540
E-mail address, Cheuk Yu Mak: cymak@math.ias.edu